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ABSTRACT
Classification problem is always a great challenge especially in a high dimensional data, though there are many classification problems and a feature selection (FS) algorithm has been developed in the past two decades. Feature selection algorithm results in high prediction accuracy for classification but the result is not stable when training set differs, eminently in high dimensional data. This paper proposes a new boosting based feature selection algorithm so that prediction accuracy is maintained with its stability of the selected feature subset. This is done by evaluating new Q-statistic evaluation measure. Booster in the Feature selection algorithm boosts the value of Q. Here different micro array real data sets is used to show that booster not only boost the prediction accuracy but also boost the Q–statistic. Micro array data is a collection of gene expression data. Since dealing with high dimensional data is very difficult for classification Feature Selection with boosting technique is applied for improving accuracy.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Feature selection is the process of selecting a subset of the terms occurring in the training set and using only this subset as features in text classification. Feature selection addresses two
main purposes. First, it makes training and applying a classifier more efficient by decreasing the size of the effective features\cite{5}. The presence of high dimensional data affects the feasibility of classification and clustering techniques. So feature selection is an important factor to be focused and the selected feature must leads to high accuracy in classification\cite{2}. The concentration of high dimensional data is because of its great issue and common in most of the practical applications like data mining techniques, machine learning and especially in micro array gene data analysis. In micro array data there are more than ten thousand features are present with small number of training data and this will not be sufficient for the classification for testing data. This small sample dataset has intrinsic challenge and is difficult to improve the classification accuracy. As well as most of the features present in the high dimensional data are irrelevant to the target class so it has to be filtered or removed. Finding relevancy will simplify the learning process and it improves classification accuracy\cite{7}. The selected subset should be robust manner so that it do not vary if the training data differ especially in medical data. Since the small selected feature subset will decide the target class, in medical data the classification accuracy must be improved. So the selected subset feature must work with high potential as well as high stability of the feature selection. Feature selection techniques are often used in domains where there are many features and comparatively few sample training data. Subset selection evaluates a subset of features as a group for suitability. Evaluation of the subsets requires a scoring metric that grades a subset of features. Exhaustive search is generally impractical, so at some implementation it is defined stopping point, the subset of features with the highest score discovered up to that point is selected as the satisfactory feature subset\cite{13}. The relationship of features selected in different feature selection methods is investigated by four feature selection algorithm and the most frequent features selected in each fold among all methods for different datasets are evaluated. Methods used in the problems of statistical variable selection such as forward selection, backward elimination and their combination can be used for FS problems. Most of the successful FS algorithms in high dimensional problems have utilized forward selection method but not considered backward elimination method since it is impractical to implement backward elimination process with huge number of features.

II. RELATED WORK

In this section, we describe the existing work related to the feature selection methodologies. D.Dernoncourt, B. Hanczar, and J. D. Zucker\cite{2} proposed a survey of feature selection in which hierarchical based clustering done initially. The feature is selected at each level of the
hierarchical clustering classification is done efficiently based on the selected feature subset. Here it is difficult to find common subset data so stability is not maintained for the obtained feature subset.

Q. Song, J. Ni, and G. Wang\textsuperscript{[8]} described Fast clustering based feature subset selection algorithm in a high dimensional data. Here minimum spanning tree method was used to filter the feature with certain statistical conditions and maintained high accuracy in classification. G. Brown, A. Pocock, M. J. Zhao, and M. Lujan\textsuperscript{[17]} proposed conditional likelihood maximization: A unifying framework for information theoretic feature selection where most relevant feature is selected using feature selection on mutual dependency but Does not work good for more than thousand features so it is not efficient for high dimensional data.

P. Somol and J. Novovicova\textsuperscript{[18]} proposed a concept of evaluating stability and comparing output of feature selectors that optimize feature subset cardinality. Robustness is achieved by using mRMR (minimum redundancy and maximum relevancy) technique. However the filtering process is difficult because of high dimensional data.

III. SYSTEM DESIGN

The feature selection is done by the following methodologies for different datasets.

- Pre-processing
- Boosting
- Feature selection
- Classification
- Finding Q-Statistic

Fig 1 shows the overview of the proposed system. The weekly relevant features, irrelevant features and redundant features are removed by the pre-processing method. Boosting is just a re-sampling technique in the sample space. Four feature selection algorithm and classification techniques used here to evaluate the results efficiently. Every high dimensional data has an intrinsic challenge so the boosting technique is done to overcome the challenge with high accuracy. The basic idea is to resample the data sets by splitting process in the sample space and feature selection algorithm is applied. The number of splitting is denoted by $b$, depends on the accuracy. So choice of $b$ also plays a role in improving the accuracy of the classification.
IV. IMPLEMENTATION

A. Pre-Processing

In high dimensional data the pre-processing needs to be done eminently because boosting cannot be applied without removing redundant and irrelevant feature so that time complexity is reduced.

1. Finding Weak Relevant Feature by F-Test/T-Test

To perform pre-processing on numeric data both t-test and f-test can be applied. F-test can be applied for more than two class labels while t-test can be applied for dataset containing only two class labels. F-test is done by taking the variance test for each features $\mu_1, \mu_2, ... \mu_p$ where p is the number features. Considering variance is equal as null hypothesis and not equal is independent as alternate hypothesis the selection of feature is done. Here if two variance $\mu_1$, $\mu_2$ are likely equal i.e., $\mu_1 - \mu_2 << 0.1$ then the feature is irrelevant and it is eliminated or filtered.
2. Removing Irrelevant features by Discretization

The discretization technique is most commonly used in most of the Feature Selection algorithm. It is the density estimation of feature in the high dimensional data with large sample size as a whole dataset. It follows the marginal and joint probability mass function as

\[ I(x_1, x_2) = \sum \sum f(x_1, x_2) \log \left( \frac{f(x_1, x_2)}{f(x_1)f(x_2)} \right) \]  

(1)

After discretization if the MI = 0, Mutual Information value of feature, then feature contains no valid information and in is removed from the dataset.

B. Boosting

Boosting is simply a re-sampling technique done in the sample space. For this booster training sets is D divided into b partitions D, i = 1, 2...b so that D = \( \cup_{i=1}^{b} D_i \). From these D_i’s we obtain sp training subsets features S_i such that S_i = D-D_i. For each of these S_i feature selection algorithm is applied to obtain V*, feature subset collection.

Algorithm 1: Booster_b

Input: Dataset D, FS algorithm s, Number of Partitions b
Output: Feature subset V*

Step 1: split D into b partitions D_i, i=1, 2 ...b
Step 2: set V* = NULL
Step 3: for all D_i
Step 4: S_i = D- D_i /* remove D_i from D */
Step 5: V_i = s (S_i); /* obtain V by applying S_i on s */
Step 6: V* = V* U V_i
Step 7: end for
Step 8: return V*

Initially the dataset is divided into b partitions and S_i training subset is obtained for each D_i. This S_i is applied for the feature selection algorithm and V_i is obtained to get feature subset. Finally V* is obtained by union of all V_i. By applying this algorithm we obtain feature subset V which contains only relevant feature with no redundancy. The number of partitions b plays a key role and if b is larger more relevant features is obtained. If b is smaller redundancy will be high.
C. Feature Selection

In this paper we applied four feature selection algorithms as minimum-redundancy-maximal-relevance (mRMR), Fast Correlation Based Filter (FCBF), Fast clustering based feature Selection Algorithm (FAST) and mRMRe is the ensemble mRMR which is multiple mRMR selections in parallel. All the four algorithms work well for discretized data. For mRMR with large e.g., \( P > 5000 \) the size of the selection \( m \) is fixed to 50. Smaller size (\( m < 30 \)) gives lower accuracies and lower values of Q-statistic while larger size gives not much improvement than \( m = 50 \). So \( m \) is fixed to 50.

Among the four, the most efficient one is mRMRe where it implicitly removes the redundancy of the features. On the FCBF and FAST the explicit code is written for removing redundancy.

The mRMRe is well supported for all real dataset. Here mRMR technique is extended with an ensemble technique which is used for better explore of the feature subset collection and robustness is highly achieved. These ensemble mRMR implementations outperform the classical mRMR approach in terms of prediction accuracy. They identify genes more relevant to the biological context with high accuracy and interpretation of various biological applications. The parallelized functions included in the package show significant gains in terms of run-time speed when compared with previously released packages.

D. Classification

To find the Q-statistic value we need classification accuracy. Here three classifiers used: K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN), Naive Bayes (NB), Support Vector Machine (SVM). First choosing the appropriate number of partitions b for Booster is considered. Then the relative performance is evaluated as efficiency of Booster over the original FS algorithm is based on the prediction accuracy and Q-statistic. Finally the Q-statistic was determined and accuracy for the selected subset is shown high.

**Algorithm 2: Evaluation process of FS**

**Input:** FS algorithm, number of folds \( k \), original dataset \( D \) and

\[
K\text{-folded data subsets } D_i, i= 1, 2 \ldots k
\]

**Output:** accuracy \( a_i \), \( V_1^* \)

**Step 1:** for all \( i \)

**Step 2:** \( S_i = D - D_i; \)
Step 3: $V_i^* \leftarrow \text{Booster (S)}$

Step 4: $a_i \leftarrow \text{classifier (D)}$

Step 5: end for

Finally Q-statistic was determined using k-pairs of $(V_i^*, a_i)$

E. Finding Q-statistic

For evaluation of the three FS algorithms, with the corresponding boosters, initially k-fold cross validation is applied for whole dataset. Here k training and testing subsets are obtained. Booster process is applied to training process to get $V^*$ and testing sets for classification is done. This process is repeated for the k pairs of training-test sets, and the value of the Q-statistic is computed. Here k = 5 is used for all real datasets.

Q-statistic value is determined by the following statistics value.

$$Q(V_{i},\ldots,V_{j},a_{i},\ldots,a_{j}) = \frac{2}{h(h-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{h} \sum_{j=i+1}^{h} Q_{ij}$$

$$Q_{ij} = \sqrt{[a a][V_{ij} \cap V]} / |V_{ij} \cup V|$$

F. Choice of b for Booster

The average size of $|V^*|$ increases rapidly to 15 as b increases to 5 but after 5 it do not vary much. Booster accuracy and classification accuracy also increases rapidly up to b=5 after 5 it varies slightly. Hence from the results, b= 5 is set. If b increases accuracy also increases. So the value b plays a key factor for this proposed methodology.

V. RESULT ANALYSIS

This section describes the experimental results for different real datasets.

**Table 1: Accuracy and Q-Statistic from Booster$_b$ for the Four FS Algorithms and the Three Classifiers with b = 3 and 5.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b</th>
<th>FAST</th>
<th>FCBF</th>
<th>mRMR</th>
<th>mRMRe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>SVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy %</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>89.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>91.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100* Q</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 shows Accuracy and Q-Statistic from Booster $b$ for the Four FS Algorithms and the Three Classifiers with $b = 3$ and $b = 5$. Here ensemble mRMR is well recognized for different real datasets. Boosting technique helps the feature selection algorithm to increase the accuracy of the classification and stability of the selected feature subsets. Especially in microarray gene expression data it is necessary to apply boosting technique since it is used for many biomedical applications. Table 2 and Table 3 shows Accuracies Obtained by the Three Classifiers Based on the Features Selected by the Four FS Algorithms: FAST, FCBF, mRMR and Q-Statistics Obtained by the Three Classifiers Based on the Features Selected by the Four FS Algorithms: FAST, FCBF, mRMR respectively.

**Table 2: Accuracies Obtained by the Three Classifiers Based on the Features Selected by the Four FS Algorithms: FAST, FCBF, and mRMR.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>FAST</th>
<th>FCBF</th>
<th>mRMR</th>
<th>mRMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>SVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-cell1</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon cancer</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryonal-Tumors</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Q-Statistics Obtained by the Three Classifiers Based on the Features Selected by the Four FS Algorithms: FAST, FCBF, and mRMR.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>FAST</th>
<th>FCBF</th>
<th>mRMR</th>
<th>mRMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>SVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-cell1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon cancer</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryonal-Tumors</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VI. CONCLUSION

Here Q-statistics evaluates the performance of FS algorithm is for both stability for selected subset and classification accuracy. The basic reason for improving accuracy is the boosting technique. The experimental result shows that booster improves the accuracy for classification. It was observed that FS algorithm is efficient for selecting feature subset but do
not improve the accuracy value for some data sets. Hence boosting is done before feature selection and increasing the value of \( b \) i.e., the number of partitions, results in increasing accuracy value.
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