



REMARKS ON MULTIPLICATIVE GENERALIZED DERIVATIONS IN PRIME AND SEMIPRIME RINGS

Moharram A. Khan*, Muhammad Minkail and Hamisu Musa

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Natural and Applied Sciences,
Umaru Musa Yarádua University, Katsina- Nigeria.

Article Received on 06/07/2020

Article Revised on 27/07/2020

Article Accepted on 17/08/2020

***Corresponding Author**

Moharram A. Khan

Department of Mathematics
and Computer Science,
Faculty of Natural and
Applied Sciences, Umaru
Musa Yarádua University,
Katsina- Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

Let R be a semiprime ring with center $Z(R)$ and L a nonzero left ideal of R . A map $F: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called multiplicative generalized derivation if it satisfies $F(xy) = F(x)y + xg(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$, where $g: R \rightarrow R$ a derivation. The main aim in this paper is to study the following

situations: $(P_1) F(xy) - F(x)g(y) \in Z(R)$ and $(P_2) F(xy) + g(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in R$ in some appropriate subset of R .

KEYWORDS: Left ideal, multiplicative generalized derivation, semiprime ring.

MSC 2010, Classifications: 16N60, 16W25, 16Y30.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout the present paper; R will denote an associative ring with centre $Z(R)$.

For given $x, y \in R$, the symbol $[x, y]$ and xoy denote the commutator $xy - yx$ and anticommutator $xy + yx$, respectively. Posner^[12] enumerated two outstanding results on derivation in prime ring, these results stated that: (i) In a 2-torsion-free prime ring, if the iterate of two derivations is a derivation, then one of them must be zero; (ii). A prime ring R admitting a nonzero centralizing derivation d must be commutative. Since then, derivation in ring have been generalized in different direction such as Jordan derivation, left derivation,

(θ, φ) -derivation, generalized derivation, generalized Jordan derivation, generalized Jordan (θ, φ) -derivation, higher derivations, generalized higher derivations and others.

Moreover, generalized derivations got its motivation from Bresar,^[2] who acknowledged the distance of composition of two derivations. Bresar,^[2] estimated the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations. Over last few decades a lot of works has been done on generalized derivation (see for references [1, 3, 5, 6]). The concept of generalized derivation covers both concept of derivation and that of a left multiplier that is an additive mapping $f: R \rightarrow R$ satisfying $f(xy) = f(x)y$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Throughout this paper, a ring R represents an associative ring (not necessarily unity) with $Z(R)$, centre of R . In this sequel, we need the basic definitions as given below.

- An additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$.
- An additive mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized derivation of R , if there exist a derivation $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xg(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$.

Remarks 1.1. Hvala,^[8] initiated generalized derivations from the algebraic viewpoint and defined that an additive map f of a ring R into itself will be called a generalized derivation if there exist a derivation d of R such $f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Next, Lee and Shieu^[10] extended the definition of generalized derivations as follows:

By a generalized derivation we mean an additive mapping $g: I \rightarrow U$ such that $g(xy) = g(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$, where I is a dense right ideal of R and d is a derivation from I into U .

- A mapping $D: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) which satisfies $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$ is called multiplicative derivation of R .
- A mapping $F: R \rightarrow R$ is called a multiplicative (generalized)- derivation if $F(xy) = F(x)y + xg(y)$ is fulfilled for all $x, y \in R$, where $g: R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation.

Multiplicative generalized derivations precisely have been studied by different authors in different directions in the past few years (see for references.^[5,6,8]). In this line of investigation, Martindale^[11] posed a well-known problem: "When are multiplicative mappings additive?" This question was motivated by the work of Rickart^[13] and Johnson.^[9]

Furthermore, Rickart.^[13] raised the question of “when a multiplicative isomorphism additive”? In both of these papers, Martindale.^[4] noted some kind of minimality conditions imposed on the ring R , generalized the hypothesis of Rickart at the same time omit the minimality conditions and surveyed this result of Rickart in the presence of family of idempotent elements as: Let S be a nonempty subset of a ring R . The mapping $f : S \rightarrow R$ is a centralizing (or commuting) map on S if $[f(x), x] \in Z(R)$ (or $[f(x), x] = 0$) $\forall x \in S$. Martindale,^[11] answered the question of when a multiplicative mapping additive and stated that: Suppose R is a ring containing a family $\{e_a \in A\}$ of idempotent that satisfies:

- I) $xR = 0$ implies $x = 0$;
- II) $e_a R x = 0$ for each $a \in A$, then $x = 0$ (and hence $Rx = 0$ implies $x = 0$);
- III) For each $a \in A$, $e_a x e_a R (1 - e_a) = 0$ implies $e_a x e_a = 0$.

Then any multiplicative isomorphism \emptyset of R onto an arbitrary ring S is additive.

In his paper,^[4] Daif introduced the idea of multiplicative derivation and gave the precise definition of the term ‘multiplicative derivation’. Daif and Tamman El-Sayyid^[3] generalized the definition of multiplicative derivation to multiplicative generalized derivations.

A natural question of “when a multiplicative derivation additive” was answered by Daif,^[4] was motivated by the work of Martindale^[11] and introduced the notion of multiplicative derivation as: The mapping $D: R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a multiplicative derivation if it satisfies $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. in the case of multiplicative derivations, the mappings assumed not to be an additive mapping. Further, Goldmann and Semrl^[7] gave the complete description of these mappings.

Daif and Tammam El-sayiad^[3] extend multiplicative derivations to multiplicative generalized derivations as follows: a mapping F on R is said to be a multiplicative (generalized)-derivation if there exists a derivations d on R such that $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

The concepts of multiplicative generalized derivation cover the concepts of multiplicative derivation and multiplicative generalized derivation.

In this definition, if we take d to be a mapping that is not necessarily additive and not necessarily derivation then F is called a multiplicative (generalized)- derivation which was introduced by Dhara and Ali.^[6]

Recently, Dhara and Ali.^[6] gave a precise definition of multiplicative generalized derivation as follows: a mapping $F : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be a multiplicative (generalized)- derivation if there exist a map g on R such that $F(xy) = F(x) + xg(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$ where g is any mapping on R (not necessarily additive).

A multiplicative (generalized)- derivation associated with mapping $g = 0$ covers the concepts of multiplicative centralizers (not necessarily additive).

The example of multiplicative generalized derivations are multiplicative derivation and multiplicative centralizers (see Dhara and Ali.^[7] for further reference).

On the other hand, Daif studied this situation of Martindale and proved a similar result by replacing the mapping δ with multiplicative derivations.

In 2018, Dhara and Mozumder^[5] investigated the commutativity of semiprime ring admitting a multiplicative (generalized)- derivation satisfying the following differential properties:

- (i) $F(xy) + F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$
- (ii) $F(xy) - F(x)F(y) \in Z(R)$
- (iii) $F(xy) + F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$
- (iv) $F(xy) - F(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$
- (v) $F(xy) - g(y)F(x) \in Z(R)$. for all $x, y \in Z(R)$

In this line of investigation, it is natural to ask the question of related identities (i) - (v) to establish commutativity of prime and semi prime rings involving multiplicative generalized derivations. Motivated by these works, the aim of this article is to establish commutativity of semiprime rings admitting a multiplicative (generalized)- derivation associated with a nonzero derivation g satisfying the following identities (P_1) and (P_2) for all x, y in some suitable subset of R .

1. Preliminaries results

We shall make use of the following basic identities frequently in the prove of our results

- (i) $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$

(ii) $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.

In order to prove our results, we need the following results.

Lemma 1.1. ([5, Lemma 2])

(a) If R is a semiprime ring, the centre of a nonzero one-sided ideal is contained in the centre of R ; in particular, any commutative one-sided ideal is contained in the centre of R .

(b) If R is a prime ring with a nonzero central ideal, then R must be commutative.

Lemma 1.2. ([4, Theorem 3]) Let R be a semiprime ring and U a nonzero left ideal of R . If R admits a derivation d which is nonzero on U and centralizing on U , then R contains a nonzero central ideal.

Lemma 1.3. ([6, Lemma 2]) If R is prime with a nonzero ideal, then R is commutative.

Lemma 1.4. ([3, Theorem 4]) Let R be a central prime ring and I be a nonzero left ideal of R . If R admits a nonzero derivation d which is centralizing on I , then R is commutative.

Lemma 1.5. ([10, Theorem 2 (ii)]) Let R be a noncommutative prime ring with extended centroid C , λ a nonzero left ideal of R and p, q, r, k are fixed positive integers. If d is a derivation of R such that $x^p[d(x^q), x^r]^k = 0$ for all $x \in \lambda$, then either $d = \text{ad}(b)$ and $\lambda b = (0)$ for some $b \in Q$ or $\lambda[\lambda, \lambda] = (0)$ and $d(\lambda) \subseteq \lambda C$.

Lemma 1.6. ([4, Fact-4]) Let R be a semiprime ring, d a nonzero derivation of R such that $x[[d(x), x], x] = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Then d maps R into its centre.

Lemma 1.7. ([5, Lemma 2.4]) If R is a prime ring, $d: R \rightarrow R$ a derivation of R , I a nonzero left ideal of R and $0 \neq a \in R$ such that $[\text{ad}(x), x] = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then one of the following holds: (1) $a \in Z(R)$; (2) $Ia = (0)$; (3) $I d(I) = (0)$.

2. Main results

We are now in a position to prove our main theorems.

Theorem 2.1 Let R be a semi prime ring with centre $Z(R)$ and A a nonzero left ideal of R . Let $F: R \rightarrow R$ be a multiplicative (generalized)- derivation associated with the derivation $\delta: R \rightarrow R$. If $F(xy) - F(x)\delta(y) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in A$, then $A[\delta(x), x] = (0)$. For all $x \in A$. In particular, when $A = R$, then either $\delta = 0$ or R contains a nonzero central ideal.

Proof. By hypothesis, we have

$$F(xy) - F(x)\delta(y) \in Z(R). \quad \forall x, y \in A \quad (2.1)$$

Replacing y with yz in (2.1), we obtain.

$$F(xyz) - F(x)\delta(yz) \in Z(R). \quad y, z \in A. \quad (2.2)$$

Or,

$$F(xyz) - F(x)[\delta(y)z + y\delta(z)] \in Z(R) \quad (2.3)$$

This gives

$$F(xyz) - F(x)\delta(y)z - F(x)y\delta(z) \in Z(R). \quad (2.4)$$

This can be re-written as

$$F(xyz) - F(xy)z + [F(xy) - F(x)\delta(y)]z - F(x)y\delta(z) \in Z(R) \quad (2.5)$$

$$[F(xyz), z] - [F(xy), z]z + [F(xy) - F(x)\delta(y), z]z - F(x)y\delta(z) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $F(xy) - F(x)\delta(y) \in Z(R)$, then the above equation reduces to

$$[F(xyz), z] - [F(xy), z]z - F(x)y\delta(z) = 0. \quad \forall x, y \in A \quad (2.7)$$

Replacing $x = xz$ in (2.7), we have

$$[F(xzyz), z] - [F(xzy), z]z - [F(xz)y\delta(z), z] = 0.. \quad (2.8)$$

$$[F(xzyz), z] - [F(xzy), z]z - [F(x)z + x\delta(z)]y\delta(z), z] = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Putting $y = zy$ in (2.9) we have

$$[F(xzyz), z] - [F(xzy), z]z - [F(x)zy\delta(z), z] = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Subtracting (2.9) from (2.10) we have

$$[F(xzyz), z] - [F(xzy), z]z - [F(x)z + x\delta(z)]y\delta(z), z] - [F(xzyz), z] - [F(xzy), z]z - [F(x)zy\delta(z), z] = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

This reduces to

$$[x\delta(z)y\delta(z), z] = 0.. \quad \forall x, y \in A \quad (2.12)$$

Putting $x = \delta(z)x$ in (2.12) we have

$$[\delta(z)x\delta(z)y\delta(z), z] = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

$$\delta(z)[x\delta(z)y\delta(z), z] + [\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)y\delta(z) \quad (2.14)$$

By application (2.12), (2.14) reduces to

$$[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)y\delta(z) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Right multiplication of (2.15) by u for some $u \in A$ we have

$$u[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)y\delta(z) = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

Replacing $y = yt$ for some $t \in A$, we obtain

$$u[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)yt\delta(z) = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

Replacing $t = tz$ in (2.17), gives

$$u[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)ytz\delta(z) = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

Right multiplication of (2.17) by z we have

$$u[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)yt\delta(z)z = 0 \quad (2.19)$$

Subtracting (2.18) from (2.19) we have

$$u[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)yt\delta(z)z - u[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)ytz\delta(z) = 0.$$

This yields

$$u[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)yt[\delta(z), z] = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Replacing $x = xz$ in (2.20), we get

$$u[\delta(z), z]xz\delta(z)yt[\delta(z), z] = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

Replacing $y = zy$ in (2.21), we obtain

$$u[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z)zyt[\delta(z), z] = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

Subtracting (2.21) from (2.22), we get

$$u[\delta(z), z]x[\delta(z), z]yt[\delta(z), z] = 0 \quad (2.23)$$

Putting $v = yt$, we get

$$u[\delta(z), z]x[\delta(z), z]v[\delta(z), z] = 0. \quad \forall u, x, z, v \in A \quad (2.24)$$

$$\Rightarrow A[\delta(z), z]A[\delta(z), z]A[\delta(z), z] = 0, \text{ this implies } 0 = (A[\delta(z), z])^3$$

since R is semiprime, it contains no nonzero nilpotent right ideal, implying $(A[\delta(z), z])=0$.

For all $z \in A$. In particular when $A = R$, then $[\delta(x), x] = 0 \quad \forall x \in R$.

Theorem 2.2: Let R be a semi prime ring with centre $Z(R)$ and A a nonzero left ideal of R .

let $F : R \rightarrow R$ be a multiplicative (generalized)- derivation associated with derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow R$. If

$$F(xy) + \delta(y)F(x) \in Z(R) \quad \forall x, y \in A, \text{ then } A[\delta(x), x] = (0).$$

For all $x \in A$, in particular, when $A = R$, then either $\delta = 0$ or R contains a nonzero central ideal.

Proof.

$$\text{Given } F(xy) + \delta(y)F(x) \in Z(R). \quad \forall x, y \in A \quad (2.25)$$

Replacing x with xz where $z \in A$, we have

$$F(xzy) + \delta(y)F(xz) \in Z(R) \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (2.26)$$

This implies that

$$F(xzy) + \delta(y)[F(x)z + x\delta(z)] \in Z(R). \quad (2.27)$$

Or

$$F(xzy) + \delta(y)F(x)z + \delta(y)x\delta(z) \in Z(R). \quad \forall x, y, z \in A \quad (2.28)$$

One can write (2.28), as

$$F(xzy) - F(xy)z + [F(xy) + \delta(y)F(x)]z + \delta(y)x\delta(z) \in Z(R) \quad (2.29)$$

Commuting both sides with z we have

$$[F(xzy) - F(xy)z + [F(xy) + \delta(y)F(x)]z + \delta(y)x\delta(z), z] = 0 \quad (2.30)$$

By the given condition, (2.30), becomes

$$[F(xzy) - F(xy)z + \delta(y)x\delta(z), z] = 0. \quad \forall x, y, z \in A \quad (2.31)$$

Replacing y by z , we get

$$[F(xz^2) - F(xz)z + \delta(z)x\delta(z), z] = 0. \quad \forall x, z \in A \quad (2.32)$$

Or

$$[F(x)z^2 + x\delta(z^2) - [(F(x)z + x\delta(z))z + \delta(z)x\delta(z)], z] = 0 \quad (2.33)$$

We have that

$$[xz\delta(z) + \delta(z)x\delta(z), z] = 0 \quad (3.34)$$

Replacing x by zx in (4.34), we have

$$[zxz\delta(z) + \delta(z)zx\delta(z), z] = 0. \quad (3.35)$$

Left multiplication of (4.34) by z and then, subtracting from (4.35), we obtain

$$[[\delta(z), z]x\delta(z), z] = 0 \quad (3.36)$$

By application of (Dhara and Mozumder [5], Theorem 3.6), we find that

$$[\delta(z), z]^2 x[\delta(z), z]^2 u[\delta(z), z]^2 = 0 \text{ for all } x, u, z \in A.$$

This implies that

$$(A[\delta(z), z]^2)^3 = (0) \text{ for all } z \in A.$$

Since R is semiprime, we conclude that

$$A[\delta(z), z]^2 = (0) \text{ for all } z \in A.$$

In particular, when $A = R$, then

$$A[\delta(z), z]^2 = (0) \text{ for all } x \in R, \text{ either } \delta = 0 \text{ or } R \text{ contains a nonzero central ideal.}$$

3. Counter example

In this section, we construct an example of a derivation and multiplicative generalized derivation that illustrate our theorems.

$$\text{Let } R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \text{ where } \mathbb{Z} \text{ is the set of all integers.}$$

Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (0)$, so R is not prime ring. Define the mapping d and $F: R \rightarrow R$

$$\text{As follows: } d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ It suffices to verify that}$$

d and F are derivation and multiplicative generalized derivation in R such that

$$F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) \text{ Holds for all } x, y \in R.$$

REFERENCES

1. E. Albas, Generalized derivations on ideals of prime rings, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 2003; 14: 3-9.
2. M. Braser, On the distance of composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations, Glasgow Math: J, 1991; 33: 89-93.
3. M. N. Daif and M. S. Tammam El-Sayyid, Multiplicative generalized derivations which are additive, East-West J. Math, 1997; 9: 31-37.
4. M. N. Daif, When is a multiplicative derivation additive, internet. J. Math. & Math. Sci, 1991; 14: 615-618.
5. B. Dhara and M. R. Mozumder, Some Identities Involving Multiplicative Generalized Derivations in Prime and Semiprime Rings, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat, 2018; 36(1): 25-36.
6. B. Dhara and S. Ali, On n -centralizing generalized derivations in semiprime rings with application to C^* -algebra, J. Algebra and its Applications, 2012; 11: 112-131.
7. H. Goldman and P. Šemrl, Multiplicative derivations on $C(X)$, Monatsh. fur Math, 1996; 121: 189-197. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01298949>).
8. B. Hvala, Generalized derivations in rings, Comm. Algebra, 1998; 26: 1147-1166. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00927879808826190>).
9. R. E. Johnson, The extended centralizer of a ring over a module, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 1951; 2: 891-895.

10. T. K. Lee and W. K. Shiue, A result on derivations with Engel conditions in prime rings, Southeast Asian Bull. Math, 1999; 23: 437-446.
11. W. S. Martindale III, When are multiplicative mappings additive, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 1969; 21: 695-698.
12. E. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1957; 8: 1093-1100.
13. C. E. Rickart, One-to-one mappings of rings and lattices, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, 1948; 54: 758-764.