



SOME TENSORS IN GENERALIZED $\mathcal{B}R$ – RECURRENT FINSLER SPACE

Alaa A. Abdallah^{1*}, A. A. Navlekar², Kirtiwant P. Ghadle¹ and Basel Hardan¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University,
Aurangabad, 431001, India.

²Department of Mathematics Pratishitan Mahavidyalaya, Paithan (M.S.) India.

Article Received on 14/04/2023

Article Revised on 04/05/2023

Article Accepted on 24/05/2023

*Corresponding Author

Alaa A. Abdallah

Department of Mathematics,
Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar
Marathwada University,
Aurangabad, 431001, India.

ABSTRACT

The generalized $\mathcal{B}R$ – recurrent Finsler space has been introduced by Qasem and Abdallah.^[5] Now, in this paper, two theorems related to the above mentioned space have been established and proved.

KEYWORDS: Generalized $\mathcal{B}R$ – recurrent Finsler space, Berwald's covariant derivative.

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The recurrence property and generalized recurrence property have been studied by the Riemannian and Finslerian geometrics. Ruse.^[10] considered the three dimensional Riemannian space having the recurrent of curvature tensor, he called such space as Riemannian space of recurrent curvature. This space has extended to n –dimensional Riemannian space by Walker, Wong, Wong and Yano and others.^[4,13,14] This idea was extended to Finsler space by Moor.^[5] for the first time.

Pandey et al.^[12] Qasem and Abdallah.^[6] Qasem and Baleedi.^[7] and Alaa et al.^[2,3] introduced the generalized recurrent Finsler spaces for H_{jkh}^i , R_{jkh}^i , K_{jkh}^i and P_{jkh}^i , respectively. Also, the generalized property for normal projective curvature tensor N^I in sense of Berwald has been introduced by.^[8]

Let F_n be an n –dimensional Finsler space equipped with the metric function $F(x, y)$

satisfying the request conditions.^[9] The vector y_i is defined by.

$$(1.1) \quad y_i = g_{ij}(x, y)y^j.$$

Two sets of quantities g_{ij} and its associative g^{ij} , which are connected by

$$(1.2) \quad g_{ij}g^{ik} = \delta_j^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k. \end{cases}$$

In view of (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$(1.3) \quad \text{a) } \delta_k^i y_i = y_k, \quad \text{b) } \delta_k^i y^k = y^i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{c) } \delta_j^i g_{ir} = g_{jr}.$$

The tensor C_{ijk} that is known as $(h)hv$ -torsion tensor defined as [11]

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_i g_{jk} = \frac{1}{4} \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial} \dot{\partial}_k F^2$$

It is positively homogeneous of degree -1 in y^i and symmetric in all its indices. The above tensor C_{ijk} satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \text{a) } C_{ijk} y^i = C_{kij} y^i = C_{jki} y^i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{b) } C_{ijk} \delta_h^k = C_{ijh}.$$

Berwald's covariant derivative $\mathcal{B}_k T_j^i$ of an arbitrary tensor field T_j^i with respect to x^k is given by [1, 9]

$$\mathcal{B}_k T_j^i = \partial_k T_j^i - (\dot{\partial}_r T_j^i) G_k^r + T_j^r G_{rk}^i - T_r^i G_{jk}^r.$$

Berwald's covariant derivative $\mathcal{B}_k T_j^i$ appears as $T_{j(k)}^i$. Berwald's covariant derivative of the vector y^i and metric tensor g_{ij} satisfy

$$(1.5) \quad \text{a) } \mathcal{B}_k y^i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{b) } \mathcal{B}_k g_{ij} = -2C_{ijk|h} y^h = -2y^h \mathcal{B}_h C_{ijk}.$$

The h -curvature tensor (Cartan's third curvature tensor) is defined by

$$R_{jkh}^i = \partial_h \Gamma_{jk}^{*i} + (\partial_l \Gamma_{jk}^{*i}) G_h^l + C_{jm}^i (\partial_k G_h^m - G_{kl}^m G_h^l) + \Gamma_{mk}^{*i} \Gamma_{jh}^{*m} - k/h^*.$$

This tensor satisfies the following relations

$$(1.6) \quad R_{jki}^i = R_{jk}.$$

The curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i , its associative R_{rjkh} , R -Ricci tensor R_{jk} , curvature vector R_k and $h(v)$ -torsion tensor H_{kh}^i satisfy

$$(1.7) \quad R_{rjkh} = R_{jkh}^i g_{ri}$$

$$(1.8) \quad R_{jk} y^j = R_k$$

$$(1.9) \quad R_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kh}^i = K_{jkh}^i y^j.$$

The $h(v)$ – torsion tensor satisfies the relation

$$(1.10) \quad H_{kh}^i y^k = H_h^i = -H_{hk}^i y^k,$$

where $h(v)$ –torsion tensor H_{kh}^i and deviation tensor H_h^i are positively homogenous of degree one and two in y^i , respectively. The curvature vector H_k and curvature scalar H satisfy the following

$$(1.11) \quad \text{a) } H_{ji}^i = H_j \quad \text{and} \quad \text{b) } H = \frac{1}{n-1} H_r^r.$$

The curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i and its associative tensor R_{ijkh} satisfy the following identities which known as *Bianchi identity* [9]

$$(1.12) \quad \text{a) } R_{ijk|h}^r + R_{ihj|k}^r + R_{ikh|j}^r + (R_{mkh}^s P_{ijs}^r + R_{mjk}^s P_{ih}^r + R_{mhj}^s P_{iks}^r) y^m = 0$$

$$\text{b) } R_{ijkh} + R_{ihkj} + R_{ikjh} + C_{ijs} H_{hk}^s + C_{ih}^s H_{kj}^s + C_{iks} H_{jh}^s = 0,$$

where P_{jkh}^i is called hv –curvature tensor (*Cartan's second curvature tensor*) is defined by [8]

$$P_{jkh}^i = \partial_h \Gamma_{jk}^{*i} + C_{jr}^i P_{kh}^r - C_{jh|k}^i,$$

which satisfies the relations

$$(1.13) \quad P_{jkh}^i y^j = \Gamma_{jkh}^{*i} y^j = P_{kh}^i = C_{kh|r}^i y^r,$$

where P_{kh}^i called $v(hv)$ –torsion tensor.

A Finsler space F_n which Cartan's third curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i satisfies the condition [6]

$$(1.14) \quad \mathcal{B}_m R_{jkh}^i = \lambda_m R_{jkh}^i + \mu_m (\delta_j^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i g_{jh}), \quad R_{jkh}^i \neq 0,$$

called a *generalized BR – recurrent Finsler space* and denoted it briefly by $G(BR) - RF_n$.

Transvecting the condition (1.14) by g_{il} , using (1.5b), (1.7) and (1.3c), we get

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{B}_m R_{jlkh} = \lambda_m R_{jlkh} + \mu_m (g_{jl} g_{kh} - g_{kl} g_{jh}) + 2R_{jkh}^i y^h \mathcal{B}_h C_{ilm}.$$

Contracting the indices i and h in the condition (1.14), using (1.6) and (1.3c), we get

$$(1.16) \quad \mathcal{B}_m R_{jk} = \lambda_m R_{jk}.$$

Transvecting (1.16) by y^j , using (1.5a) and (1.8), we get

$$(1.17) \quad \mathcal{B}_m R_k = \lambda_m R_k.$$

2. Main Results

In this section, we discuss two theorems related to generalized BR – recurrent space. Let us consider a $G(BR) – RF_n$ which characterized by the condition (1.14).

Transvecting the condition (1.14) by y^j , using (1.5a), (1.9), (1.3b) and (1.1), we get

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_m H_{kh}^i = \lambda_m H_{kh}^i + \mu_m (y^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i y_h).$$

Further, transvecting (2.1) by y^k , using (1.5a), (1.10), (1.1) and (1.3b), we get

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{B}_m H_h^i = \lambda_m H_h^i.$$

Contracting the indices i and h in (2.1), using (1.11a), (1.1) and (1.3a), we get

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{B}_m H_k = \lambda_m H_k.$$

Contracting the indices i and h in (2.2), using (1.11b), we get

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{B}_m H = \lambda_m H.$$

From (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we conclude

Theorem 2.1. *In $G(BR) – RF_n$, the deviation tensor H_h^i , curvature vector H_k and curvature scalar H behave as recurrent.*

We know that the associate curvature tensor R_{ijkh} of three dimensional Finsler space is given by the form [9]

$$(2.5) \quad R_{ijkh} = g_{ik} L_{jh} + g_{jh} L_{ik} - k/h,$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad L_{ik} = \frac{1}{n-2} (R_{ik} - \frac{r}{2} g_{ik})$$

and

$$r = \frac{1}{n-1} R_i^i.$$

Differentiating (2.6) covariantly with respect to x^m in sense of Berwald, using (1.16) and (1.5b), we get

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{B}_m L_{ik} = \frac{1}{n-2} (\lambda_m R_{ik} + y^h \mathcal{B}_h C_{ikm}).$$

Taking \mathcal{B} – covariant derivative for eq. (2.5) with respect to x^m and using eq. (1.15), we get

$$\mathcal{B}_m(g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h) = \lambda_m R_{jlkh} + \mu_m(g_{jl}g_{kh} - g_{kl}g_{jh}) + 2R_{jkh}^i \mathcal{B}_h C_{ilm},$$

Using eq. (2.5) in above equation, we get

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{B}_m(g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h) = \lambda_m(g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h) + \mu_m(g_{jl}g_{kh} - g_{kl}g_{jh}) + 2R_{jkh}^i \mathcal{B}_h C_{ilm}.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 2.2. In $G(BR) - RF_n$, Berwald's covariant derivative of first order for the tensors L_{ik} and $(g_{ik}L_{jh} + g_{jh}L_{ik} - k/h)$ are given by eqs. (2.7) and (2.8), respectively.

Differentiating (1.12b) covariantly with respect to x^m in sense of Berwald, we get

$$\mathcal{B}_m R_{ijkh} + \mathcal{B}_m R_{ihkj} + \mathcal{B}_m R_{ikjh} + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{ijr})H_{hk}^r + C_{ijr}(\mathcal{B}_m H_{hk}^r) + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{ihr})H_{kj}^r + C_{ihr}(\mathcal{B}_m H_{kj}^r) + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{ikr})H_{jh}^r + C_{ikr}(\mathcal{B}_m H_{jh}^r) = 0.$$

Using (1.15) and (2.1) in above equation, we get

$$\lambda_m(R_{ijkh} + R_{ihkj} + R_{ikjh} + C_{ijr}H_{hk}^r + C_{ihr}H_{kj}^r + C_{ikr}H_{jh}^r) + \mu_m(g_{ik}g_{jh} - g_{jk}g_{ih}) + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{ijr})H_{hk}^r + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{ihr})H_{kj}^r + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{ikr})H_{jh}^r + \mu_m(C_{ijr}y^r g_{hk} - C_{ijr}\delta_h^r y_k + C_{ihr}y^r g_{kj} - C_{ihr}\delta_k^r y_j + C_{ikr}y^r g_{jh} - C_{ikr}\delta_j^r y_h) = 0.$$

Using (1.12b) and (1.4) in above equation, we get

$$(2.10) \quad (\mathcal{B}_m C_{ijr})H_{hk}^r + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{ihr})H_{kj}^r + (\mathcal{B}_m C_{ikr})H_{jh}^r - \mu_m(C_{ijh}y_k + C_{ihk}y_j + C_{ikj}y_h + g_{jk}g_{ih} - g_{ik}g_{jh}) = 0.$$

From (1.12a), the Bianchi identity for Cartan's third curvature tensor R_{jkh}^i in sense of Berwald is given by [9].

$$\mathcal{B}_m R_{jkh}^i + \mathcal{B}_h R_{jmk}^i + \mathcal{B}_k R_{jhm}^i + (R_{shm}^r P_{jkr}^i + R_{skh}^r P_{jmr}^i + R_{smk}^r P_{jhr}^i)y^s = 0.$$

Using (1.9) in above equation, then using (1.14), we get

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda_m R_{jkh}^i + \lambda_h R_{jmk}^i + \lambda_k R_{jhm}^i + H_{hm}^r P_{jkr}^i + H_{kh}^r P_{jmr}^i + H_{mk}^r P_{jhr}^i + \mu_m(\delta_j^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i g_{jh}) + \mu_h(\delta_j^i g_{mk} - \delta_m^i g_{jk}) + \mu_k(\delta_j^i g_{hm} - \delta_h^i g_{jm}) = 0.$$

Transvecting (2.11) by y^j , using (1.9), (1.13), (1.3b) and (1.1), we get

$$(2.12) \quad \lambda_m H_{kh}^i + \lambda_h H_{mk}^i + \lambda_k H_{hm}^i + H_{hm}^r P_{kr}^i + H_{kh}^r P_{mr}^i + H_{mk}^r P_{hr}^i + \mu_m(y^i g_{kh} - \delta_k^i y_h) + \mu_h(y^i g_{mk} - \delta_m^i y_k) + \mu_k(y^i g_{hm} - \delta_h^i y_m) = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude

Corollary 2.1. In $G(BR) - RF_n$, we have the identities (2.10) and (2.12).

CONCLUSION

Some tensors in generalized $\mathcal{B}R$ – recurrent Finsler space have been studied. Further, certain identities belong to this space were obtained.

REFERENCES

1. A. A. Abdallah, A. A. Navlekar, K. P. Ghadle and B. Hardan, Fundamentals and recent studies of Finsler geometry, International Journal of Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 2022; 10(2): 27-38.
2. A. A. Abdallah, A. A. Navlekar and K. P. Ghadle, On study generalized $\mathcal{B}P$ – recurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Mathematics trends and technology, 65(4): 74-79.
3. A. A. Abdallah, A. A. Navlekar and K. P. Ghadle, The necessary and sufficient condition for some tensors which satisfy a generalized $\mathcal{B}P$ –recurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, 2019; 10(11): 135-140.
4. A. G. Walker, On Ruse's space of recurrent curvature, proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 1950: 52: 36 – 64.
5. A. Moor, Untersuchungen über Finslerräume von rekurrenter Krümmung, Tensor N.S, 1963; 13: 1 -18.
6. F. Y. Qasem and A. A. Abdallah, On certain generalized $\mathcal{B}R$ –recurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Applied Science and Mathematics, 2016; 3(3): 111-114.
7. F. Y. Qasem and S. M. Baleedi, On a generalized $\mathcal{B}K$ –recurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Science basic and applied research, 2016; 28(3): 195-203.
8. F. Y. Qasem and A. A. Saleem, On generalized $\mathcal{B}N$ –recurrent Finsler space, Elect–Vonic Aden University Journal, 2017; 7: 9–18.
9. H. Rund, *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Göttingen, (1959); 2nd Edit. (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1981.
10. H. S. Ruse, Three dimensional spaces of recurrent curvature. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 1949; 50: 438 – 446.
11. M. Matsumoto, On h –isotropic and C^h –recurrent Finsler, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 1971; 11: 1-9.
12. P. N. Pandey, S. Saxena and A. Goswani, On a generalized H – recurrent space, Journal of International Academy of Physical Science, 2011; 15: 201 - 211.
13. Y. C. Wong, Linear connections with zero torsion and recurrent curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1962; 102: 471–506.

14. Y. C. Wong and K. Yano, Projectively flat spaces with recurrent curvature, Comment Math. Helv, 1961; 35: 223–232.